



---

**EMBARGOED UNTIL 5PM EASTERN: 2/3/16**

February 3, 2016

Contact: Laura Dixon

Texas Policy Evaluation Project

[ldixon@prc.utexas.edu](mailto:ldixon@prc.utexas.edu)

512-788-2653

**NEW RESEARCH SHOWS THE IMPACT OF DEFUNDING PLANNED PARENTHOOD**

*Excluding affiliates of abortion providers led to decreased provision of highly effective contraception and subsequently led to increased Medicaid-paid births*

AUSTIN (EMBARGOED UNTIL 5PM EASTERN, February 3, 2016) — A new study demonstrates the consequences of excluding Planned Parenthood affiliates from Texas' fee-for-service family planning program. After the exclusion, provision of the most effective reversible methods of contraception (IUDs, implants, and injectable contraception) decreased and Medicaid-paid births increased among injectable contraceptive users. Claims for IUDs and implants declined 31 percent and claims for injectable contraceptives declined 35 percent. Among users of injectable contraception, Medicaid-paid deliveries increased by 27 percent. Data was drawn from all Medicaid claims from 2011 through 2014, 2 years before and 2 years after the exclusion. The study was published February 3 in *The New England Journal of Medicine*.

From Dr. Joseph Potter, Professor of Sociology at The University of Texas at Austin, who supervised the study: "Simply put, dedicated women's health providers matter. Providers who are mission-driven and have the requisite experience and knowledge appear to be critical for the delivery of the most effective methods of contraception—IUDs, implants, and injectables. From a demographic perspective, this is important because both national studies and local studies show that these methods dramatically decrease unintended pregnancy. We also have accumulating evidence that there is unmet demand for these methods in Texas."

From Pete Schenkkan, Austin attorney and co-author: "The results of the study have implications for ongoing litigation regarding publicly funded women's healthcare programs. Initiatives to exclude medically qualified providers of family planning and other women's health services if they are affiliated in any way with abortion providers have been adopted or proposed in 17 US states and both houses of the US Congress. Supporters of these proposals claim that other providers can meet the needs of women served by Planned Parenthood clinics. Until now, this claim had not been empirically tested. On January 1, 2013, Texas became the first state to enforce such a law, and the study analyzes the results of this real world test."

From Amanda Jean Stevenson, lead author: "We were able to demonstrate the effect of the exclusion due to three circumstances. First, the exclusion went into effect on a single day statewide so we could easily compare contraceptive provision and use before and after the exclusion. Second, Planned Parenthood affiliates were only located in some Texas counties so we could compare them with other counties without affiliates. Third, because Texas is so big, we were able to look at provision during relatively short

periods of time right before and right after the exclusion and examine differences between places that were and were not impacted by the exclusion. We found worse outcomes in the places impacted by the exclusion, and places not impacted by the exclusion continued much as they had before.”

From Dr. Potter: “While this paper does not tell us much about women’s experiences after the exclusion, we have evidence from another [study](#), recently published in the journal *Contraception*, that Planned Parenthood clients encountered barriers such as unnecessary exams, multiple visits, and additional costs as they tried to find a new provider after January 2013.”

### **About the Research Team**

The study, “Effect of removal of Planned Parenthood from Texas women’s health program,” is the result of a collaboration between scholars from the Texas Policy Evaluation Project at the University of Texas at Austin (Amanda Jean Stevenson and Joseph E. Potter), researchers from the Texas Health and Human Services Commission (Imelda Fores-Vazquez and Richard Allgeyer), and Austin attorney Pete Schenkkan of Graves, Dougherty, Hearon & Moody, PC. Mr. Schenkkan served pro bono as lead counsel for Texas Planned Parenthood affiliates in three now-concluded court cases opposing their exclusion first from the Medicaid Women’s Health Program and then from the Texas Women’s Health Program. Dr. Potter served as an expert witness for the plaintiffs in these cases, while Dr. Allgeyer served as an expert witness for the state.

### **About The Texas Policy Evaluation Project**

[The Texas Policy Evaluation Project](#) is a five-year comprehensive effort to analyze the impact of reproductive health bills passed by the 82<sup>nd</sup> and 83<sup>rd</sup> Texas Legislatures. The project team includes researchers at the Population Research Center, the University of California San Francisco, Ibis Reproductive Health, and the University of Alabama-Birmingham. The project is supported by grants from the Susan Thompson Buffett Foundation and the Society of Family Planning. Infrastructure support for the Population Research Center is provided by a grant from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.

If you would like to receive more information about this topic or schedule an interview with Ms. Stevenson or Dr. Potter please contact Laura Dixon at [ldixon@prc.utexas.edu](mailto:ldixon@prc.utexas.edu) or (512) 788-2653.

###